

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

RECEIVED

From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

SEP 21 2005

To:

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP  
 NOTIFICATION CONCERNING LOS ANGELES  
 TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL  
 PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY  
 (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION  
 TREATY)  
 (PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

BERNADICOU, Michael, A.  
 Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP  
 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th floor  
 Los Angeles, CA 90025  
 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

NO DOCKETING REQUIRED  
 N.A.

Date of mailing (day/month/year)  
 15 September 2005 (15.09.2005)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
 7134/AGS/IBSS

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No.  
 PCT/US2004/003595

International filing date (day/month/year)  
 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year)  
 01 March 2003 (01.03.2003)

Applicant

APPLIED MATERIALS, INC. et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO  
 34, chemin des Colombettes  
 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Philippe Becamel

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No. +41 22 338 70 90

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44*bis*)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 7134/AGS/IBSS	<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b>		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2004/003595	International filing date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)	Priority date ( <i>day/month/year</i> ) 01 March 2003 (01.03.2003) ]	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G05B 19/418			
Applicant APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 *bis*.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 9 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- |                                     |              |   |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the report   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. II   | Priority  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application   |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44*bis*.3(c) and 93*bis*.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44*bis* .2).

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland  Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Date of issuance of this report 02 September 2005 (02.09.2005)  Authorized officer <p style="text-align: center; font-weight: bold;">Philippe Becamel</p> Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 90
---	--

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

RECEIVED

29 JUN 2004

WIPO

PCT

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing

(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference  
see form PCT/ISA/220

### FOR FURTHER ACTION

See paragraph 2 below

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/003595

International filing date (day/month/year)  
05.02.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)  
01.03.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC  
G05B19/418

Applicant  
APPLIED MATERIALS, INC.

### 1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

### 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103  
D-10958 Berlin  
Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0  
Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840

Authorized Officer

Höller, H

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-629



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/003595

---

**Box No. I Basis of the opinion**

---

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
  - ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material:
    - ☐ a sequence listing
    - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material:
    - ☐ in written format
    - ☐ in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing:
    - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
    - ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
    - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.  
PCT/US2004/003595

---

**Box No. II Priority**

---

1. ☒ The following document has not been furnished:

- ☒ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).
- ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

---

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

---

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-16, 21-37, 42, 44
	No: Claims	17-20, 38-41, 43, 45
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-45
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-45
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

**see separate sheet**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING  
AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)**

International application No.

PCT/US04/03595

The examination is being carried out on the **following application documents**:

**Description, pages:**

1-24

as originally filed

**Claims, No.:**

1-45

as originally filed

**Drawings, sheets:**

1/6-6/6

as originally filed

**Re Item V**

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;  
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1) Reference is made to the following documents:

**D1:** US-B-6 470 227

**D2:** XP002284232

2) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 17, 38, 43 and 45 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

2.1) The document **D1** discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

- A method of storing information in a database to characterize attributes outputted by different classes of equipment (column 7 lines 5-16 & column 10 lines 13-19) comprising the steps of:
  - providing a database memory device (column 7 lines 15-16)
  - storing in the database memory device a plurality of attribute data records (column 9 lines 58-65)
- the step of storing each attribute data record includes:
  - storing in that record a first field identifying a class of equipment (column 9 lines 58-65)
  - storing in that record a second field identifying an attribute whose value is outputted

by the class of equipment identified by the first field of that record (column 9 lines 58-65 and column 10 lines 13-19)

- storing in that record a third field specifying a conversion parameter that defines a conversion of the value of the attribute identified in the second field into physical units of measurements

(implicitly disclosed, as the method of **D1** is used in a semiconductor fabrication process in which monitoring is an essential part. Therefore a conversion parameter has to be included in the configuration database of D1 to interpret the attributes.)

Therefore the subject-matter of **claim 17** is considered not new.

- 2.2) The subject-matter of the independent claim 38 corresponds in terms of an apparatus to that of claim 17 differing in that a diagnostic apparatus for monitoring electronic equipment, a computer-readable data storage device and a computer connected to read data from the storage device are included.

As the apparatus of **D1** controls and monitors equipment in a semiconductor fabrication process (see Figure 1 and column 8 lines 30-47) the apparatus of D1 functions also as a diagnostic apparatus for monitoring electronic equipment. The further additional features, concerning the data storage device and the computer, are also included in D1: (see column 5 lines 6-12).

Therefore the subject-matter of **claim 38** is also considered not new.

- 2.3) The subject-matter of the independent claims 43 and 45 corresponds in terms of a data storage medium to that of claims 38 and 17 respectively. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the independent **claims 43** and **45** which therefore are also considered not new.

- 3) The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1, 21, 42 and 44 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

- 3.1) The subject-matter of the independent **claim 1** differs from that of claim 17 insofar as the

third field specifies an ID, assigning the class of equipment identified by the first field to the attribute value of the second field, instead of specifying a conversion parameter.

As explained under 2.1 **D1** is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 1 from which it differs in that:

the step of storing a third field - specifying an ID which the class of equipment identified by the first field of the record assigns to the attribute value identified by the second field of the record - is added

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as to provide a method of assigning the information stored in a first field to that stored in a second field.

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons.

The feature of assigning an ID to an attribute is well known in the field of industrial fabrication (see e.g. paragraph "Attribute Identifier" in the chapter "DeviceNet Addressing" of document **D2** on page 2) and is therefore merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

- 3.2) The subject-matter of the independent claim 21 corresponds in terms of an apparatus to that of claim 1 differing in that a diagnostic apparatus for monitoring electronic equipment, a computer-readable data storage device and a computer connected to read data from the storage device are included.

As explained under 2.2 all these additional features are disclosed in **D1** and therefore the subject-matter of **claim 21** is also considered not inventive.

- 3.3) The subject-matter of the independent claims 42 and 44 corresponds in terms of a data storage medium to that of claims 21 and 1 respectively. The same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the independent **claims 42 and 44** which therefore are also



considered not inventive.

- 4) Dependent claims 2-16, 18-20, 22-37 and 39-41 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, the reasons being as follows:

- 4.1) The additional feature, concerning the ID uniquely specifying the attribute, as claimed in **claim 2** is known from **D2**: (see e.g. paragraph "Attribute Identifier" in the chapter "DeviceNet Addressing" on page 2), Article 33(3).
- 4.2) The additional feature, concerning the ID uniquely specifying a command, as claimed in **claims 3 and 4** is known from **D1**: (see e.g. column 10 lines 13-19), Article 33(3).
- 4.3) The additional feature, concerning a field identifying the position of a chamber, as claimed in **claim 5** is known from **D1**: (see e.g. Figure 1 and column 8 lines 38-43), Article 33(3).
- 4.4) The additional feature, concerning the first field identifying a model or a version of the model of equipment, as claimed in **claims 6 and 7** is known from **D2**: (see e.g. column 9 lines 58-65), Article 33(3).
- 4.5) The additional feature, concerning the first field being subdivided into two subordinate fields identifying model, version, range of versions, revision date or range of revision dates of the equipment, as claimed in **claims 8-12** can not be considered as involving an inventive step for the following reason:  
A skilled person confronted with that problem of different versions or revision dates of equipment models will adapt the database for different equipment of **D1**. Using subordinate fields is merely a straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed, Article 33(3).
- 4.6) The additional feature, concerning different classes of equipment - represented by different models or versions of models - being stored in different records of the

- database, as claimed in **claims 13 and 14** is a general functionality of a database and therefore implicitly disclosed in the database of **D1**, Article 33(3).
- 4.7) The additional feature, concerning the attribute being of a process performed in a semiconductor fabrication process chamber, as claimed in **claims 15 and 16** is known from **D1**: (see e.g. column 8 lines 30-33) , Article 33(3).
- 4.8) The additional feature, concerning the conversion parameter specifying a physical unit, a scale factor or a range of physical values, as claimed in **claims 18-20** is implicitly disclosed in **D1** as explained under 2.1, Article 33(2).
- 4.9) The additional feature, concerning the communication interface, as claimed in **claim 22** is known from **D1**: (see e.g. 31, 33 in Figure 1), Article 33(3).
- 4.10) The additional features of claims 23-37 and 39-41 correspond in terms of an apparatus to that of claims 2-16 and 18-20 respectively. Therefore the same reasoning applies, mutatis mutandis, to the dependent **claims 23-37 and 39-41**.